Research Passport Application Form – <u>Version 5.1</u> 08/Jul/2020 With suggestions for how to end the exclusion of Public Contributors

Please refer to the guidance notes before completing the form.

Se	ection 1 - Details of Researcher	To be completed by Researcher	Comments by Peter Bates
1.	Surname:	Prof□ Dr□ Mr□ Mrs□ Miss □ Ms□ Other□	Promote inclusion by reversing order so high status designations appear last.
	Forename(s):		
	Contact Tel:	Contact Email:	
2.	Date of birth:		This may serve as an identifier but also permit ageism. Questions about characteristics protected under the Equalities Act 2010 are normally anonymous, so that they are not used in illegal discriminatory ways.
3.	Professional registration details, if any form of medical practice shoul practise). N/A		Why not other professions with restricted title? Is this intended to weed out people who have been subject to disciplinary action? Throughout this form, there is no explanation of why this information is required — what is the competence or risk that is under examination. This breaches the principle of GDPR, that the rationale for collecting personal data needs to be given.
4.	Employer:	or place of study:	Add a 3 rd category so it reads 'Details of the organisation that is (i) for staff- your employer, (ii) for students - your education provider, or (iii) for Public Contributors - your host.
	Work Address/Place of Study:		As above
	Post or status held:		Replace with 'Your role'
	ection 2 - Details of Research To	<u> </u>	
5.	What type of Research Passport d ☐ Multi-project ☐	lo you need? Project-specific	Research organisations should commit to supporting Public Contributors beyond a single project. SUCRAN members were on zero hours contract with De Montfort University and so were on the casual bank when they applied for and were granted a multi-site research passport. The Research Department were then notified

			about each individual project as they came up.		
	below. If you an	ticipate tha	nly please complete the details e undertaking more than one etails in the Appendix.		
	Project Title:		At De Montfort University, applicants wrote 'To be advised' and this was accepted.		
	Project Start Da	te:		End Date:	
	Proposed start a	and end-da	ate of 3-year	Research Passport:	
	Start Date:	En	d Date:		
	NHS organisation(s):	Dept(s):	Proposed research activities:	Manager in NHS organisation:	Replace 'Manager in NHS organisation' with 'Name of the person who is accountable for your activities'
	ection 3 – Decla esearcher	ration by	Researche	To be completed by	
	Have you ever been refused an honorary research contract?		Yes 🗌 No 🗌	This question should ask if an application for a Research Passport has ever been refused or revoked, rather than confuse things by asking about an Honorary Research Contract.	
	Have you ever hesearch contract		•	Yes 🗌 No 🗌	
	If yes to either q	uestion, pl	ease give d	etails:	
in <u>Hi</u> Wa Pa	section 6 of the gher Education arrant that the in	Informate Institution Institut	ion for rese ons and the n provided	I for the purposes described earchers, R&D and HR staff in NHS guidance document. I as part of this Research I documents are a true and	
Si	gned*:		Date:		
			-	d, the researcher should on to complete Section 4.	
forward the form to the appropriate person to complete Section 4. * It is recommended that the researcher prints, signs and scans the form. Where this is not possible, the researcher should state 'authorised by email', in place of a wet-ink and scanned signature. Where authorisation occurs by email, the full email trail should be presented as evidence with the document for further authorisations and/or in application for an HRC/LoA. Identity and/or other checks may be made using videoconferencing, or other technology, where appropriate.					

То	ction 4 - Suitability of R be completed by research	No provision here for Public Contributors					
	mager, or academic super Will this person's resear mean that they may be regulated activity with chadults as defined in the Vulnerable Groups Act 2 amended (in particular bof Freedoms Act 2012)? the Research Passport of t	ch activity undertaking nildren and/or Safeguarding 2006, as by the Protection	Yes No n	The Research Passport Algorithm has not been updated since 2012 and makes no mention of Public Researchers.			
	make this judgement)						
7. b	I am satisfied that the all and experienced to underesearch activities outlin	This is fine, since Public Contributors will be selected for their 'suitable training and experience'. To be completed by the Chief Investigator or their delegate who is best informed to judge the fit between the Public Contributor's abilities and the task required, and to bear responsibility for putting the person forward.					
	Signed*:	Date:					
	Name:	Job Title:					
	Department and Organis	sation:					
	Address:						
	Tel No:	Email:					
	Managerial responsibility	y for the applic	ant:				
* It and 'au Wh pre and ma app	When Section 4 has been completed, the researcher should forward the form to the appropriate person to complete Section 5. * It is recommended that the person authorising Section 4 prints, signs and scans the form. Where this is not possible, they should state 'authorised by email', in place of a wet-ink and scanned signature. Where authorisation occurs by email, the full email trail should be presented as evidence with the document for further authorisations and/or in application for an HRC/LoA. Identity and/or other checks may be made using videoconferencing, or other technology, where appropriate.						
	ction 5 - Pre-engageme partment of the research		o be completed by the HR employer or registry at	Public Contributors are not employed but may be			
place of study registered by their organisation. Uncl pre-engagement as an or utilising the respective passport.							
8.	Does the above named research involve Regula with children and/or adu in the Safeguarding Vulr Groups Act 2006, as amparticular by the Protect Freedoms Act 2012)?	ated Activity alts as defined nerable nended (in	☐ Yes ☐ No	Appropriate question. Normally answered 'No' as conducting a research interview or handling confidential data does not fall within the definition of a regulated activity, available here			

If yes to the above, has the above named individual been checked against ISA barred lists for adults and/or children, as appropriate and have you received confirmation via the criminal record disclosure that the person is not barred from working with adults and/or children? (NB individuals who are barred from working with adults or children must not undertake a regulated activity in the NHS with the vulnerable group from which they are barred, and you must not submit a Research Passport form in such cases).	Checked against: ISA Adults List? Yes No N/A SISA Children's List? Yes No N/A SISA Children's List?	Use the Not Applicable option where appropriate. As above
Can you confirm that a clear criminal record disclosure has been obtained for the above-named individual, with no subsequent reports from the individual of changes to this record? NB for Regulated Activity this must be an enhanced level criminal record check. For non-regulated activity, ensure the criminal record check is at the mandated level.	Yes No N/A	This asks for an Enhanced DBS check for regulated activity, while the algorithm here contradicts it by asking for an Enhanced with Barred Lists check. The Algorithm provides a list of research roles where a criminal records check must not be undertaken. This declaration does not make allowance for people who have been convicted but have an appropriate risk mitigation plan in place. There is nowhere on the form to indicate that a risk mitigation plan is in place ¹ . This contradicts the principles of rehabilitation of offenders in criminal justice and recovery in mental health, and would mean that no research could take place in forensic or justice services unless the Department of Health's principle of 'nothing about me without me' was abandoned. Not only is this discriminatory, it has a disproportionate impact on research studies that need to be corproduced with Public Contributors who have committed offences.

¹ It would not be appropriate to routinely share the content of either the DBS check or the risk mitigation plan with people who are not directly involved with the person or the research activity they were potentially engaged in.

If yes, please provide de	Ambiguous as to whether 'clear' means well communicated or free of offences.		
Date of disclosure:	Date of disclosure: Type of disclosure:		
Disclosure No.:	Organisatio	n that requested disclosure:	
Have the pre-engagement checks described below been carried out with regard to the above-named individual and is confirmation of the necessary checks, including any required satisfactory documentary evidence, available in the employing organisation's/place of study's records?			These are checks that must be applied to candidates for employment. They are not appropriate for Public Contributors.
Employment/student sID with ph		Yes No	Many employers have discontinued the use of photographs as it invites selection on the basis of skin colour and appearance
o two refere	ences	Yes 🗌 No 🗍	The referees should not include the person who has responsibility for completing Q7 or a family member.
	n to y in the UK	Yes 🗌 No 🗌	Not required for voluntary roles in the UK.
exploratio gaps in erEvidence of current p	mployment	Yes No No N/A	Not relevant to Public Contributors Use Not Applicable option
registration			OSC NOT Applicable option
 Evidence of qualificat Occupational health selections 		Yes No Yes No	Public Contributors may need basic literacy or more sophisticated academic abilities, depending on the role. Blanket requirement not matched to role is not necessary. Asking for this from Public Contributors will divert those who have substantial employment history and work experience away from their primary role here, which is to bring their lived experience to the fore. Not needed for Public
clearance			Contributors unless the person is taking communicable diseases into direct contact with vulnerable patients.
end imminent? Yes \(\sime\) N Please indicate current c	lo contract end-	m contract or is the contract date Date	Add an option here for Public Contributors. Rename this as 'project end-date.
3	Date: Job Title:		Rename as Role Title
riullio.	JUD TIUE.		incliante as Note Hille

	Organisation:		Department:	
	Address:			
	Tel No:	Email:		
Ple	ase return the fo	rm to the	researcher.	
and 'aut Wh pre and ma	I scans the form. thorised by email ere authorisatior sented as evider I/or in application	Where to Where to Where to When When When When When When When When	person authorising Section 5 prints, signs this is not possible, they should state of a wet-ink and scanned signature. By email, the full email trail should be the document for further authorisations IRC/LoA. Identity and/or other checks inferencing, or other technology, where	

Section 6 - Instructions to applicants To be completed by Researcher		Comments by Peter Bates
Please indicate which of the following documents Research Passport:	are attached to this	
Current curriculum vitae, including details of qualifications, training and professional registration (please use the template C.V. at http://www.rdforum.nhs.uk/docs/template-cv.doc)		The CV template makes no reference to Public Contributors or their relevant experience and skills. Treating lived experience as invisible makes the form hard to understand and discounts the contribution of Public Contributors.
	Yes No	This relies on the HR Department's records, as the CV is not sent to HR and anyway the template for the CV only goes back 5 years. Unclear what criteria are to be applied to judge acceptability ¹ . Is it criminal or disciplinary events to give an indication that the person may be unreliable, dishonest or destructive in their relationships with others? These may be lived experience assets in some studies.
Researcher's copy of criminal record disclosure. NB where research involves regulated activity with children and/or adults as defined in the Safeguarding Vulnerable Groups Act 2006, as amended (in particular by the Protection of Freedoms Act 2012), the disclosure must include confirmation of a check against the appropriate ISA barred list(s).	Yes 🗌 No 🗍 N/A 🗍	This has already been covered. Duplication breaches the human right of privacy, excludes essential Public Contributors and diffuses responsibility for developing the risk mitigation plan with the person.
Evidence of occupational health screening / clearance	Yes No N/A	This reduces infection and other risks to patients that may arise from the employee entering NHS premises – but this is a much less significant issue in mental health services than in physical healthcare. It is done by using a

¹ One HR staff member reported that they always tick 'No' to this question and have never had an application returned (personal communication, 18/12/2017).

		standard NHS occupational health clearance form.
Appendix – List of projects and amendments	Appendix numbers:	
	N/A 🗆	

Please send the completed form and original documents to the Lead R&D office. The completed form and original documents will be returned to you. This package of documents will be used to validate your completed Research Passport form. You may then, and where relevant, provide the Research Passport to other NHS organisations.

You must inform all NHS organisations that have received this Research Passport of any changes to the information supplied above. Failure to do so may result in withdrawal of your honorary research contract or letter of access. As part of the quality control procedures for the Research Passport, random checks on the accuracy of the information held on this Research Passport may be made.

Section 7 This section sho	Comments by Peter Bates			
The following add				
been completed, carry out the dution Research Passpo	I am satis es associ ort.	sfied that the a	litional pre-engagement checks have bove named researcher is suitable to research activity outlined in this	Whilst there is a clear expectation that each organisation involved will trust the others, this does not always work out. See Laterza et al (2016) ¹
Signed*:		ate:		
Name:	Jo	b Title:		
Organisation:	De	epartment:		
Email:				
scans the form. I email', in place of occurs by email, a document for furt. Section 8 - For C This section show	Where the farmethe full ender the full ender the full ender the full ender the full	is is not possible and scanned mail trail should brisations and/coe Only	orising Section 7 prints, signs and le, they should state 'authorised by signature. Where authorisation to be presented as evidence with the or in application for an HRC/LoA. NHS R&D office that received the	
	e docum	ents. The grey	nust countersign and date retained section must be completed before the	
CV reviewed?	Yes ☐ No ☐	Training?	Yes 🗌 No 🗍	It is not clear what criteria are to be used to judge whether the CV is adequate. The CV is biased towards academic achievement and contains no space for recounting lived experience or other assets held by the person and only asks about the most recent 5 years.
Evidence of qualifications?	Yes 🗌 No 🗍	Appendix pages reviewed?	Numbers:	It has been suggested that GCP training is mandatory, despite HRA guildance that it is not. The training being

¹ Laterza et al reports one situation where the university checked the co-researcher's documents as indicated here as they were casual employees of the university, but when the application was passed to the NHS Trust, the applicant had to take their non-EU passport in to be viewed by the Trust HR Department. This seems to be a clear case of mistrust, since the University, not the NHS Trust was the person's employer. See Laterza et al. What's in a "research passport"? A collaborative autoethnography of institutional approvals in public involvement in research Research Involvement and Engagement (2016) 2:24. DOI 10.1186/s40900-016-0033-z.

				referred to is GCP training
				but this is not made clear.
Professional registration details reviewed	Yes No No N/A	Occupational health clearance reviewed?	Yes 🗌 No 🗌 N/A 🗍	
Criminal record disclosure reviewed?	Yes No N/A	Date of disclo		
For regulated ac Safeguarding Vu 2006, as amende Protection of Fre the criminal reco satisfactory chec appropriate ISA	Inerable G ed (in partice edoms Act rd disclosu k against t	roups Act cular by the 2012), did re confirm a he	Yes □ No □ N/A □	
Enter Electronic	Staff Reco	rd Number (if i	ssued):	
Confirmation of very project The specific year.	ree- Oth	arch Passport: er End date [] Date:	
Signed*:		Date:		
Name:				
NHS Organisation	n Name ar	nd contact deta	ails	
Date Honorary R of access issued				
scans the form. email', in place o occurs by email, document for fur	Where this f a wet-ink the full em ther author her checks	is not possible and scanned ail trail should disations and/o s may be made	orising Section 5 prints, signs and le, they should state 'authorised by signature. Where authorisation be presented as evidence with the or in application for an HRC/LoA. e using videoconferencing, or other	

If required, this section should be added to the Research Passport Form and completed by each NHS R&D office receiving the valid Research Passport. The original Research Passport form and documents should be returned to the applicant.						
Has the Research Passport been vacceptable to this NHS organisatio		Sorganisation and is the	nis validation			
CV reviewed?	Yes 🗌 No 🗌	Training?	Yes 🗌 No 🗌			
Evidence of qualifications?	vidence of qualifications? Yes ☐ No ☐ Appendix pages reviewed?					
Professional Registration details reviewed?	Yes No N/A	Occupational health clearance reviewed?	Yes No N/A			
Criminal record disclosure	Yes 🗌 No 🗌 N/A 🗍	Date of disclosure:				
reviewed?		Disclosure No:				
For regulated activity as defined in the Safeguarding Vulnerable Groups Act 2006, as amended by the Protection of Freedoms Act 2012 , did the criminal record disclosure confirm a satisfactory check against the appropriate ISA barred list(s)						
Checked Electronic Staff Record: \	Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A ☐					
Signed*:		Date:				
Name:						
NHS organisation name and contact details:						
Date honorary research contract/le	tter of access issued (de	elete as appropriate)				
* It is recommended that the person authorising this section prints, signs and scans the form. Where this is not possible, they should state 'authorised by email', in place of a wet-ink and scanned signature. Where authorisation occurs by email, the full email trail should be retained as evidence.						

Appendix Number:							
If you are applying for a three-year Research Passport, please use this section to enter details of projects and activities that will be covered by this Research Passport. Once you have a validated Research Passport, you may add details of subsequent projects during the three years that this Research Passport is valid.							
	If you are applying for a project-specific Research Passport, but need to add further sites to the project, please enter the details below.						
Whenever you add further details, the full Research Passport and accompanying documents must be submitted to the relevant NHS organisations.							
Title: Start Date: End Date:							
NHS organisation(s):		Dept(s):	Proposed research activities:	Manager in NHS organisation:			

Amendments to the Research Passport

Passport Appendix. List of projects and amendments

Please state what these are, e.g. they might be a change in name or employment details, or a change in research activities.

Please check with the NHS organisation where you are undertaking your research if you are unsure whether you will need to submit new evidence of pre-engagement checks on a new Research Passport form, which will need to be validated by the NHS organisation(s) hosting your research.

Date	Old Details	New Details	Office use only NHS R&D contact details and signature*

^{*} It is recommended that the person authorising this section prints, signs and scans the form. Where this is not possible, they should state 'authorised by email', in place of a wet-ink and scanned signature. Where authorisation occurs by email, the full email trail should be presented as evidence with the document for further authorisations and/or in application for an HRC/LoA.

To add more projects please copy this page or download further blank pages. Each appendix page should be numbered.

For office use only: A photocopy of the appendix/copy of email trail including any email authorisations whenever any amendments or additions to the appendix are made.	should be retained