

Outside-in service design

Peter Bates and Fabian Davis

Many innovations in health and social care are borne out of an entrepreneurial spirit that works by identifying individual needs and then designing a project to address them. Innovators work 'inside/out' from the individual to the project and then to the community. In doing so, the characteristics of the community within which they work affects the shape and success of the project, but in many cases, we believe, entrepreneurs are only partially aware of the effect of these wider cultural and environmental factors. Successful projects are then presented as models worthy of exact replication in other locations.

This paper explores an alternative to the replication of model programmes that has long been criticised (e.g. by Bachrach 1988). Leaders need to adopt a broader overview, select their target and then enrich the traditional inside/out approach with a new outside/in perspective that includes an analysis of the community within which the project will operate. By working 'outside/in', new issues and opportunities are identified.

This enriched approach will merge the inside/out and the outside/in perspectives in order to create a project that is responsive to both the needs of individuals and the wider environment. Effective leaders adopt multiple perspectives on their chosen development project, and so embrace complexity. However, the thoughts set out below all arise from the outside/in approach, since this has received less attention in the literature. It is speculative and exploratory, with the aim of offering some particular viewpoints and raising questions that may be of help to leaders involved in existing projects or those embarking on the creation of new ones.

In order to focus our discussion, we consider the design of a 'supported volunteering' (SV) project which assists people with disabilities to volunteer in mainstream settings. Volunteering has been found to benefit people with mental health issues (Casiday et al 2008), and is supported by policies on community engagement and cohesion, social inclusion, employment and informal learning. We hope that readers will not feel restricted by this example, but rather apply outside/in thinking to diverse settings.

In considering the environment, we have identified nine factors that impact on SV projects, and may form a template for other outside/in analyses. Some of these are already well-known influences; others are not. The nine factors are explored below.

1. Macro issues: the role of government, local employment needs and opportunities, and personal resources.

2. Local culture and attitudes: the appetite for formality, understanding of difference, and the social roles assigned to people with disabilities.
3. The Voluntary Sector: the strength of the sector, skills development and social capital.

Each section concludes with questions that leaders may wish to use to explore the issues in this paper.

1. Macro issues

The first group of factors are large-scale and well-known influences on volunteering projects that are explored from the perspective of people who need additional support.

The role of government

Governments vary in the extent to which they sponsor community associations, from places in the world where informal gatherings are banned, to places where staff salaries or office buildings are provided and tax waived on donations.

Similarly, in social policy, a range of government programmes converge on SV projects – regeneration, mental health and learning disability policy, employment, personalisation, disability discrimination, and the duty to involve people in decision-making.

These national factors combine with regional variations to create a complex and constantly changing map of opportunities for SV projects in the UK. Effective leaders must invest time in scanning the funding and policy environment for opportunities that are consistent with their purpose. If SV projects are to prosper and become embedded in their local culture.

Q: What policy opportunities and constraints are having an impact on your plans?

Local employment needs and opportunities

Tolerating the oppressive effects of workless time can be profoundly disabling (Waddell and Burton 2006), while volunteering can provide a time structure, physical activity, mental and social stimulation, a sense of purpose, identity and contribution - and can lead to paid work (Clark 2003). Since people with disabilities are much more likely to be unemployed than other citizens (ONS 2009), SV projects can have a particularly beneficial impact upon the employment prospects of participants. Strengthening the links between volunteering and work opportunities can be done in a number of ways.

This article is published as Bates P & Davis F (2009) Outside in service design *International Journal of Leadership in Public Services*. Vol 5, Issue 3, pp43-49. DOI: [10.1108/17479886200900021](https://doi.org/10.1108/17479886200900021). This article is © Emerald Group Publishing and permission has been granted for this version to appear here at www.peterbates.org.uk Emerald does not grant permission for this article to be further copied/distributed or hosted elsewhere without the express permission from Emerald Group Publishing Limited.

First, SV projects can improve their skills in discovering volunteers' talents and interests via guidance interviewing (DIUS 2008) person-centred planning and inclusion (Poll et al 2009) or profiling the person's previous employment experience, skills and aspirations (Leach, 2002). Second, while many agencies merely offer a choice of available vacancies, the SV project can actively 'carve' voluntary jobs to suit the individual and offer job preparation; target occupational areas needed by the local economy; link closely with employers and support people in making a smooth transition from volunteering into paid work. Third, effective exit guidance provided in partnership with local Supported Employment, vocational guidance, or education and training providers will help those people who want to progress from voluntary to paid work. Fourth, strong employee volunteering schemes (Muthuri et al 2009) will ensure that once people are employed, they can amend and then continue their voluntary activity as part of their employer's contribution to building local social capital. Finally, volunteering can be utilised as a route back into work following a prolonged period of absence related to ill-health.

However, there are hazards to viewing volunteering exclusively as work preparation. If volunteering roles mirror paid jobs and a culture of performance targets, regulation and dismissal of 'inappropriate' people dominates the volunteering sector, people will be excluded, their contribution wasted and those who cycle through episodes of acute illness, volunteering and employment will experience disruption at each transition.

As the local employment market is such a crucial element of modern society, leaders will negotiate a positive relationship with it as a key factor in the design specification for their project.

Q: What is the profile of employment opportunities in your community?
What are other local agencies doing to support people to get paid work?
Q: How will your project relate to the employment agenda?

Personal resources

Whilst there are islands of creativity and generosity in the most impoverished communities, it is clear that poverty has a direct and damaging impact on the amount of energy available for others (Warr 1987). Juggling limited funds and a poor diet can leave people feeling listless, erode hope, divert available resources into survival or jobsearch and leave little for others.

Employed people can be cash rich but time poor. Demanding forms of employment, long hours spent commuting and punishing ambition can leave successful business people with little for others. Entrepreneurial skills may be devoted to building personal wealth rather than voluntary endeavour for the community.

There are a number of ways in which people in your community may lack the resources needed to participate, including the following:

- Lack of money. Disabled people may be unable to afford the transport, clothing or equipment needed to engage in your project.
- Lack of the right label. Some projects are funded to work solely with a particular group while others have gained permission to work with anyone who needs additional support.
- Lack of assertiveness. In some target communities, assertiveness is rare and your project may need to link closely with training programmes or advocacy groups to address these issues.
- Lack of room for new experiences. Whilst modernised social services are individualised, flexible and community-based, they may also be complex. People who experience difficulty in moving from one environment to another may find that this capacity is totally absorbed in the programmed day and there is no room in their life for additional activities. Your project might wish to build close links with personal development opportunities that promote stress control and time management.

Effective leaders will review how poverty is expressed in their target community and consider how to respond to these different kind of need.

Q: What is the poverty level in your community? How is that expressed?

Q: Are there other local agencies supporting the empowerment of your target community? Which approaches seem to work locally?

2. Local culture and attitudes

The three factors above impact on large-scale issues for which there are robust indicators – the amount of sympathetic government policy, the level of employment and the resources available to potential participants. We now move on to subtler factors that have an equally pervasive, if less measurable impact across society as a whole. These are the appetite for formality in local communities, the understanding of difference, and the social roles assigned to people in receipt of health and social care.

The appetite for formality

The pattern of accepted behaviour in one neighbourhood may favour informal helping networks, while elsewhere the same people would form an association and appoint a chairperson.

Volunteer bureaux in the UK generally restrict their support to formal volunteering in which a person makes a commitment and is recognised as a

volunteer. In addition, we may observe a wide range of spontaneous and informal acts of kindness that are sometimes called ‘informal volunteering’. Communities that dislike formal organisations will have few formal volunteering opportunities and so an SV project working in this kind of locality needs to learn how to support a person to take on informal volunteering, and so embed their activities within the local culture.

However, the increasing professionalisation of volunteer management, with its attendant risk management forms, insurance, supervision structures and vetting schemes, (Barnes & Sharpe 2009), relentlessly pushes the field further and further away from informal volunteering as a legitimate activity. Meanwhile, communities appear to be losing their appetite for formality and so projects need to promote friendship, link with families and create single-event and informal opportunities to participate, accompany others, spectate or contribute in any number of ad-hoc ways.

Effective leaders in SV and other projects navigate the space between the managerialist pressure to increase formality and the informality of the community.

Q: How do things get done in your community?

Explaining and accepting difference.

Communities vary from one another and over time in the explanations that are used to give understanding to events such as the birth of a disabled child, the onset of mental illness or a traumatic accident. Some community narratives interpret these events as ‘blessings in disguise’ while others may initiate legal action. These narratives may or may not be openly articulated, but they lead to varying attempts to lay blame or demand action from the individual, the family, neighbours, colleagues and the State. In communities where the individual is strongly blamed for their misfortunes, individuals will be discouraged from offering help. For example, popular UK attitudes of suspicion towards homeless people or asylum seekers (Wray et al 2008) may increase the blame attached to these groups and simultaneously reduce the help offered to them by other citizens.

The people your project targets may share these popular attitudes with the general public and will certainly be influenced by them. Meanwhile, managers, occupational health staff, media reporters and local opinion-formers may reflect similar attitudes and close down opportunities. Effective leaders will monitor the range of opportunities offered and taken up, and

Q: What stereotypes and narratives exist in your local community that affect your project? What has happened in the history of your local community to influence these ideas? Are you in touch with opinion formers, political activists or information sources that influence the local community?

explore the politics of labelling and discrimination with potential participants.

The social roles assigned to people receiving health and social care.

Communities vary in the extent to which they put pressure on people who use health and social care services to view themselves as active or passive, givers or receivers, empowered or compliant, ambitious or despairing. Health and social care services that have a long history of casting their users in the role of passive recipients (Wolfensberger, 1992) may fail to see people's potential as contributors to the wider society. Where this weakened identity is adopted by people themselves and by family members, other agencies and volunteer-involving organisations, it creates a destructive spiral of lowered expectations and performance.

Some projects have challenged this hurtful spiral through community awareness activities, either by submitting materials to the press or by taking training to schools and other community audiences, celebrating the contribution of 'unlikely' role models. Effective leaders position their work amongst a family of allies promoting citizenship and social inclusion, disability rights, diversity and good experiences. They advocate for people's right to assert their own choices and preferences. They re-cast the doctor/patient relationship within a context of reciprocal citizen/community ties (Reed and Harries 2008), co-production, peer mentoring and self help. Leaders share power so that participants become involved in the design and delivery of services. They can move on to paid jobs in the agency and they can contribute to the design and delivery of service evaluation (Shaping our Lives 2007) welcoming the prospect of a member 'buy-out' as people literally take over the running of the project.

Q: What status and expectations are attributed to people who use local health and social care services? How will these shape your project?

3. The Voluntary Sector

Our final group of factors that may influence the shape of the project concern the nature of the voluntary sector itself. We begin with the broad concept of social capital and then move on to examine the strength of the voluntary sector and finally the skills it brings to the project.

Strength of the voluntary sector.

The presence, scale, strength and impact of the voluntary organisations that already exist in the community will impact upon your project. Overall, the UK has a strong history of voluntary organisation, but despite this, local communities vary considerably. The scale of its operations, the coverage provided by the sector, its fragmentation or coherence, its capacity to innovate

This article is published as Bates P & Davis F (2009) Outside in service design *International Journal of Leadership in Public Services*. Vol 5, Issue 3, pp43-49. DOI: [10.1108/17479886200900021](https://doi.org/10.1108/17479886200900021). This article is © Emerald Group Publishing and permission has been granted for this version to appear here at www.peterbates.org.uk Emerald does not grant permission for this article to be further copied/distributed or hosted elsewhere without the express permission from Emerald Group Publishing Limited.

and challenge traditional thinking, and its longevity will all vary from place to place. Some voluntary organisations take on a brokerage or direct support role, while others stimulate the development of new entrants to the voluntary sector.

Effective leaders will ensure that their project is involved in the identification of unmet need in the community; feed environmental intelligence through to commissioners, infrastructure organisations within the third sector, regeneration agencies, major employers and local politicians; and take up a strategic position for the benefit of the wider sector and community, rather than their own parochial interests.

Q: Are there opportunities or gaps in the array or work of voluntary organisations in the area?

Skills development

Local funding, training opportunities, support networks and media, such as publications may be generously provided to develop your leadership skills. An absence of such skills can hasten the demise of well-motivated projects due to the lack of technical expertise. For that matter, if colleagues in neighbouring agencies have incomplete or inaccurate knowledge of your project, then this can stifle your work. Lone workers can be networked into structures of cross-agency mentoring and support, where they can connect with research, information exchange and peer support to extend the shared understanding about the clinical and social benefits of their project

Effective leaders will tap into opportunities to develop their skills, and also contribute to the wider process by ensuring that other stakeholders in their project and in the whole community are supported too, and gain good knowledge of their project along the way.

Q: Do people involved in your project and other stakeholders have access to evidence, guidance, support and skill development? Where are the established 'Communities of practice' where people pool their learning?

Social capital

Social capital (Putnam, 2000) refers to the extent to which people interact and care for each other in practical ways, and to the extent of trust and reciprocity in those relationships. The focus is upon all the networks and relationships in a community, rather than just those manifested in a single group. It is a concept that embraces both formal activity, perhaps expressed through regular voluntary work and membership of community associations, combined with any informal activity that supports relationships and promotes personal

well-being. Formal membership activities will, of course, often spill over into informal friendships and social contact outside the activity and beyond the formal relationships.

It appears that volunteers who gain the most are those who have built informal friendships with their colleagues, perhaps by inviting co-volunteers out for a drink after the work is over or by attending a Christmas party together (Argyle, 1996).

This invites us to consider the extent to which the project deliberately sets out to stimulate trust and informal relationships across the community, or narrowly concentrates on those citizens who are currently participating in their own project.

Effective leadership will examine where and how these informal relationships form in the community, and consider its role as a catalyst for such contacts. Leaders might arrange meetings on neutral ground, foster links between the participants of similar projects, organise community festivals and ensure that tea breaks are long enough for people to meet one another. Leaders will plan the legacy of the project – what relationships, trust and sense of affiliation will endure after the project closes.

Social capital also highlights the importance of *bridging relationships* - those that span the social divides in our community. Effective leaders will find ways to bring people together on an equal footing those who represent different segments of the community.

Q: How well do people know their neighbours and care about their area? What contribution might your project make to this?

Conclusion

In the United Kingdom social care services are being transformed by the concept of personalisation, but here we offer an enrichment of the concept by suggesting that projects should be designed at the interface of inside/out and outside/in thinking – so that projects are both person-centred and community-focused. Profiling the local community in which the project is to be embedded generates a fruitful list of questions and perspectives to shape the project so that it is tailored to the particular strengths, needs, culture and ways of being successful within that local community.

This profiling raises questions about the:

- macro ordering of society – policy, employment and resources –
- cultural factors - attitudes to disability, appetite for formality and understanding of difference
- third sector – its strength, skills and social capital within the community as a whole

These nine factors may provide no more than a starting point for your own profiling task, as each project idea will find reflections or echoes in the wider society within which it is designed to operate. But we hope that we have shown that each project can be shaped through outside/in design as well as by an inside/out approach – and that this may be particularly important when beacon projects are replicated in new locations.

References

Casiday R, Kinsman E, Fisher C & Bamba C (2008) *Volunteering and Health: What Impact Does It Really Have? Final Report*. London: Volunteering England.

BACHRACH, L. (1988) On exporting and importing model programs *Hospital and Community Psychiatry* 39(12), 1257-8.

BATES, P. (2002) *A Real Asset: A manual on Supported Volunteering* (Manchester: National Development Team).

CLARK, S. (2003) *You cannot be serious! A guide to involving volunteers with mental health problems* (London: National Centre for Volunteering).

DAVIS-SMITH, J. (1998) *The 1997 National Survey of Volunteering* (London: Institute for Volunteering Research).

Department of Innovation, Universities and Skills (2008) *Shaping the future: a new adult advancement and careers service for England*.

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH (1999) 'Promoting volunteering and relations with the voluntary sector in the NHS and Social Care'. HSC 1999/023: LAC(99)6

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH (2001) *Valuing People: A new strategy for learning disability for the 21st century* London: Department of Health Cm5806

DUNN, S. (1999) *Creating accepting communities: report of the Mind Inquiry into social exclusion* (London: Mind).

Shaping Our Lives, National Centre for Independent Living and University of Leeds Centre for Disability Studies (2007) *Knowledge review 17: Developing social care - service users driving culture change* London: Social Care Institute for Excellence.

Published: November 2007
FORD, G. (2001) *Guidance for Adults: harnessing partnership potential* Cambridge: CRAC (NICEC Briefing available from CRAC, Sheraton House, Castle Park, Cambridge CB3 0AX.

GRANT L. (1995) *Debt and Disability; The Experience of Disabled People in Debt*. (York: Joseph Rowntree Foundation).

This article is published as Bates P & Davis F (2009) Outside in service design *International Journal of Leadership in Public Services*. Vol 5, Issue 3, pp43-49. DOI: [10.1108/17479886200900021](https://doi.org/10.1108/17479886200900021). This article is © Emerald Group Publishing and permission has been granted for this version to appear here at www.peterbates.org.uk Emerald does not grant permission for this article to be further copied/distributed or hosted elsewhere without the express permission from Emerald Group Publishing Limited.

HEARING VOICES NETWORK Website <http://www.hearing-voices.org.uk/> accessed 22 October 2003.

LEACH, S. (2002) *A Supported Employment Workbook: Using Individual Profiling and Job Matching* (London: Jessica Kingsley).

LEADBEATER, C. (1997) *The Rise of the Social Entrepreneur* (London: Demos).

Barnes ML and Sharpe EK Looking Beyond Traditional Volunteer Management: A Case Study of an Alternative Approach to Volunteer Engagement in Parks and Recreation *Voluntas: International Journal of Voluntary and Nonprofit Organizations* Volume 20, Number 2 / June, 2009, pp 169-187.

MORI (2002) *Attitudes towards refugees and asylum seekers* MORI. Available at <http://www.icar.org.uk/content.res/drps/drps088.html> accessed 2 October 2003.

Office of National Statistics
<http://www.statistics.gov.uk/cci/nugget.asp?id=1004> accessed 27 Oct 2009.

PUTNAM, R.D. (2000) *Bowling Alone: The collapse and revival of American community* (New York: Simon & Schuster).

RAINFORD, P. 'Evaluation: The European Union' in BATES, P. (ed) (2002) *Working for Inclusion: Making social inclusion a reality for people with severe mental health problems* (London: The Sainsbury Centre for Mental Health).

Muthuri JN, Matten D & Moon J, Employee Volunteering and Social Capital: Contributions to Corporate Social Responsibility. *British Journal of Management*, Vol. 20, Issue 1, pp. 75-89, March 2009.

Poll C, Kennedy J & Sanderson H (eds) (2009) *In Community: Practical lessons in supporting isolated people to be part of community* Stockport: HSA Press.

ROLLNICK, S. (1991) *Motivational Interviewing: Preparing people for change* (London: Guilford Press).

Reed, Z and Harries B (2008) Co-production, timebanks and mental health *A Life in the Day* Vol 12, issue 1, pp8-11.

SOCIAL SERVICES INSPECTORATE (2001) *Making it work: Inspection of welfare to work for disabled people* (London: Department of Health).

VOLUNTEER CENTRE OF TORONTO (2001) *Ready and Able: Including volunteers with disabilities* (Volunteer Centre of Toronto, 344 Bloor Street West, Suite 217, Toronto ON M5S 3A7, Canada).

Volunteer Development England. Website <http://www.navb.org.uk/> accessed 22 October 2003.

This article is published as Bates P & Davis F (2009) Outside in service design *International Journal of Leadership in Public Services*. Vol 5, Issue 3, pp43-49. DOI: [10.1108/17479886200900021](https://doi.org/10.1108/17479886200900021). This article is © Emerald Group Publishing and permission has been granted for this version to appear here at www.peterbates.org.uk Emerald does not grant permission for this article to be further copied/distributed or hosted elsewhere without the express permission from Emerald Group Publishing Limited.

WADDELL, G & BURTON, K (2006) *Is Work Good for your Health and Well-being* Department for Work and Pensions.

WARR, P. (1987) *Work, Unemployment and Mental Health* (Oxford: Oxford University Press).

WATTS, A.G. and MCCARTHY, J. (1998) *Community based guidance and social exclusion* Dublin/Cambridge: NCGE/NICEC. NICEC Briefings can be obtained from NICEC c/o CRAC, Sheraton House, Castle Park, Cambridge CB3 0AX.

WOLFENBERGER, W. (1992) *A guideline on protecting and health and lives of patients in hospitals, especially if the patient is a member of a societally devalued class* Syracuse, New York: Training Institute for Human Service Planning, leadership and change agent tree (Syracuse University).

Wray J, Walker L & Fell B. (2008) Student nurses' attitudes to vulnerable groups: A study examining the impact of a social inclusion module *Nurse Education Today*, Volume 28, Issue 4, Pages 513-520.